Case 2:24-cv-06650-NIQA Document 1-2 Filed 12/12/24 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

Place of Accident, Incident, or Transaction: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

RELATED CASE IF ANY: Case Number: 2:24-cv-01876-NIQA Judge: Nitza I. Quiñones Alejandro		
1.	Does this case involve property included in an earlier numbered suit? Yes	
2.	Does this case involve a transaction or occurrence which was the subject of an earlier numbered suit? Yes	
3.	Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent which was the subject of an earlier numbered suit? Yes	
4.	Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus petition, social security appeal, or pro se case filed by the same individual?	
5.	Is this case related to an earlier numbered suit even though none of the above categories apply? Yes If yes, attach an explanation.	
I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the within case \boxed{X} is $/$ $$ is not related to any pending or previously terminated action in this court.		
~		
Civil Lit	tigation Categories	
<i>A</i> .	Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:	
I certify	1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts) 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Wage and Hour Class Action/Collective Action 6. Patent 7. Copyright/Trademark 8. Employment 9. Labor-Management Relations 10. Civil Rights 11. Habeas Corpus 12. Securities Cases 13. Social Security Review Cases 14. Qui Tam Cases 15. Cases Seeking Systemic Relief *see certification below* 16. All Other Federal Question Cases. (Please specify): 17. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. All Other Diversity Cases: (Please specify) Data Breach; Class Action Class Action Class Action Class Action That, to the best of my knowledge and belief, that the remedy sought in this case does / does / does not have implications	
beyond the parties before the court and \(\subseteq \text{does not} \) does not seek to bar or mandate statewide or nationwide enforcement of a state or federal law including a rule, regulation, policy, or order of the executive branch or a state or federal agency, whether by declaratory judgment and/or any form of injunctive relief.		
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (CHECK ONLY ONE BOX BELOW)		
I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief: X		
NOTE: A	None of the restrictions in Local Civil Rule 53.2 apply and this case is eligible for arbitration.	